

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/601,721 06/23/2003 David G. Peot 10710/197 8690 (PTG-1063-PAP) EXAMINER 7590 08/13/2004 Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione DEXTER, CLARK F **NBC** Tower ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **Suite 3600** P.O. Box 10395 3724 Chicago, IL 60610

DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		***
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summany	10/601,721	PEOT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Clark F. Dexter	3724
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely, on the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-···	, ,
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received. es have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	1	
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/23/03.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail	
Patent and Trademark Office		

Art Unit: 3724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed on June 23, 2003 has been received and the references listed thereon have been considered.

### **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "frame" as set forth in claim 1 (line 2) and claim 17 (line 2) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the

Art Unit: 3724

drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Objections

3. Claim 20 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 20, line 2, "adjustable" is improper and should read --adjustably-or the like.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 1-11 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 2, "frame" is vague and indefinite as to what disclosed structure it refers; in line 8, "said housing" lacks antecedent basis.

In claim 17, line 2, "frame" is vague and indefinite as to what disclosed structure it refers.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

Art Unit: 3724

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Groff, pn 291,187.

Groff discloses a table saw with every structural limitation of the claimed invention including first and second slots (e.g., s, s'), a multi-position pin (e.g., f of q'), and a second pin (e.g., f of q).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 3724

8. Claims 4-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Groff, pn 291,187.

Regarding claims 4, 5, 12, 14 and 18, Groff lacks a bail pivotally mounted to the top plate of the guard. However, the Examiner takes Official notice that bails, particularly arc-shaped bails are old and well known in the art and provide various well benefits including safety benefits. Troupenat discloses a sawing device with a bail (e.g., 11, 12), wherein the bail has distinct left and right bail portions. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a bail on the table saw of Groff for the well known benefits including that described above.

Regarding claims 6-10, 12, 13, 19 and 20, Groff lacks the various viewing features including a viewing slot, a magnifying lens and a light source. However, the Examiner takes Official notice that such features are old and well known in the art and provide various well known benefits including assisting an operator in viewing the workpiece and/or the blade during a cutting operation. Wappat, pn 1,80,579, Campbell et al., pn 5,794,351 and Bosten et al., pn 5,375,495 provide examples of such viewing features. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide such viewing features on the table saw of Groff for the well known benefits including that described above.

Regarding claim 11, Groff lacks the riving knife raising and lowering with the saw blade. However, the Examiner takes Official notice that such a riving knife configuration is old and well known in the art and provide various well known benefits including maintaining a desired relationship between the riving

Art Unit: 3724

knife and the blade. Neighbour, pn 1,821,113 discloses one example of such a configuration (e.g., see Fig. 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a riving knife that raises and lowers with the saw blade on the table saw of Groff for the well known benefits including that described above.

Regarding claim 17, Groff lacks the first pin having a lever. However, the Examiner takes Official notice that levers on fastening devices such as that disclosed in Groff (e.g., f) are old and well known in the art and provide various well known benefits including facilitating tightening of the fastener by providing a lever to apply additional leverage. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide a lever on the first pin of Groff for the well known benefits including that described above.

#### Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark F. Dexter whose telephone number is (703)308-1404. The examiner can be reached Monday through Friday during normal business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on (703)308-1082. The

Art Unit: 3724

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Clark F. Dexter Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724

cfd August 9, 2004